

Usability and visual attention distribution with complex, dynamic computer systems: Application to financial trading software

Svetlana Ognjanovic, ETH Zurich, Switzerland



Previous work on financial decision making

- Behavioral economics: decision making under uncertainty
- Financial decision-making and heuristics

- The influence of risk taking and stress on physiology
- Nassim Nicolas Taleb: Various publications on market risks, quantitative finance in general and heuristics



(Tversky & Kahneman, 1974; Kahneman, 2011)

(Monti, Martignon, Gigerenzer, & Berg, 2009)

(Coates, 2012)

PhD plans

- 1. Sensitivity of eye movement measures to demands of various task difficulties.
- 2. Screen layout and its impact on gaze variability in complex systems.
- 3. Influence of experts' scan path on novice financial system users.



1. Task difficulty & attention distribution

Goals

- Eye movement patterns, task difficulty, trading performance
 - Which eye tracking measurement especially sensitive for complex tasks in financial systems?
 - Relationship between trading performance and task difficulty?



1. Data and Methods

Lab

Financial Trading simulator

Equipment SMI mobile eye tracking glasses

Participants Banking & Finance students





- Independent variables:
 - 3 different tasks
 - 3 task difficulties
- Dependent variables:
 - Eye tracking measurements
 - Task performance: profit & loss statement (P&L)





Usability satisfaction: After-Scenario questionnaire (ASQ)

(Lewis, 1991)

strong) agree	y <∞≈≈≈≈	011 C 7 E		= *
1	2	3	4	5
Comm	ents:			

this scenario.

strongl				
agree	<====			
1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

documentation) when completing the tasks?

strongl	У			
agree	<₽₩₩	**===	*====	IIESERY
1	2	3	4	5
Comm	ents:			



1. Overall, I am satisfied with the ease of completing the tasks in this scenario.

strongly not =====> disagree applicable

> 6 7 N/A

2. Overall, I am satisfied with the amount of time it took to complete the tasks in

strongly not ====> disagree applicable

N/A

3. Overall, I am satisfied with the support information (on-line help, messages,

strongly not ====> disagree applicable

> N/A 6

Cognitive Load: NASA-TLX

(Hart & Staveland, 1

demanding was demanding was rushed was the were you in the task? the task? pace of the task? accomplishing		Mental Demand	Physical Demand	Temporal Demand	Performance
1900)		demanding was	demanding was	rushed was the	accomplishing what you were
Very High Very High Failure	1988)				asked to do?
		Very High	Very High	Very High	Failure

Very Low

Very Low





Very High



Perfect

Very Low

Frustration

discouraged, irritated, stressed, and annoyed were you?

Very High















Trading performance is expected to suffer when task difficulty increases

(e.g. Topi et al., 2005; Rice et al., 2012)





2. Effect of screen layout

Goals

- To investigate the influence of the screen layout on users' gaze variability, cognitive load and performance
- Findings from previous study to inform the layout variability
 - Move relevant areas of interest (AOIs) to the center according to task proximity
 - 3 screen layouts



2. Effect of screen layout





B2	B3	B5
B1		B4
2		D2
D1		D3

2. Data and Methods







- Independent variables:
 - 3 different tasks
 - 3 screen layouts

Original from study 1, central positioning with 4 screens, central positioning with 6 screens.



- Dependent variables:
 - Eye tracking measurements
 - Task performance: profit and loss statement
 - Usability: After-Scenario questionnaire (ASQ)
 - Cognitive Load: NASA-TLX
 - Retrospective verbal protocols



(Lewis, 1991)

(Hart & Staveland, 1988)







3. Novice training with experts' scan path

Goals

- Can the gaze of novice's be guided to by showing them the scan path of an expert system user?
- Influence of experts' scan path video on novices' visual behavior and their respective performance



3. Data and Methods

Expert Record gaze video and verbal protocols



Novice

Show expert's gaze video and evaluate learning effect





- 1. Sensitivity of eye movement measures to demands of various task difficulties.
- 2. Screen layout and its impact on gaze variability in complex systems.
- 3. Influence of experts' scan path on novice financial system users.



Questions & Discussion





References

- Tversky, A. & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. Science, 185, 1124-1131.
- Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, Fast and Slow. New York: Farrar, Strauss, Giroux.
- Coates, J. (2012). The Hour Between Dog and Wolf: Risk Taking. Gut Feelings, and the Biology of Boom and Bust. London: Fourth Estate.
- Monti, M., Martignon, L., Gigerenzer, G., & Berg, N. (2009). The impact of simplicity on financial decisionmaking. In Proc. of CogSci (pp. 1846-1851).
- Topi, H., Valacich, J. S., & Hoffer, J. A. (2005). The effects of task complexity and time availability limitations on human performance in database query tasks. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 62(3), 349-379.
- Rice, S., Geels, K., Hackett, H. R., Trafimow, D., McCarley, J. S., Schwark, J., & Hunt, G. (2012). The harder the task, the more inconsistent the performance: A PPT analysis on task difficulty. The Journal of general psychology, 139(1), 1-18.

